

We're honored to announce that JD Vance, the vice presidential nominee, is confirmed for a live tour stop in Hershey, Pennsylvania next month. Tickets are on sale at [tucker carlson.com](https://tucker.carlson.com). We hope to see you there. It'll be in cities all across the country starting next week. But first, our interview with Bobby Kennedy junior, his first since endorsing Donald Trump on Friday.

Here it is. So people were shocked. I know a lot of people, you know, well, were shocked when you endorsed Trump. I was not shocked because for all the areas where you disagree on specific issues, there's a consistent theme that I have noticed in both of your lives, which is you've both spent the majority of your life well, in your case, your whole life in the American ruling class. And both of you decided that it was corrupt, and that you were gonna say so out loud at great risk, at great risk to both of you.

And so it was probably just a matter of time before you aligned in some way. Is that how you see it? Yeah. I mean, I you know, I think there's been a bunch of realignments, political realignments, right, about 4 or 5 throughout American history. And I think we're going through one right now with the Democratic party.

And with both political parties really changing in this very dramatic way, and even I talked, earlier about the transformation of a Republican party, the party of environmentalism. Yes. And, you know, the Democratic party has one now one environmental issue, which is this carbon orthodoxy, which ends up benefiting, you know, the oil companies and BlackRock and, Goldman Sachs with offshore wind and carbon capture, a \$100,000,000,000 carbon capture projects, which is part of just the strip mining of the middle class. And that's the only issue you can talk about in the Democratic Party. I got into the environmental movement to do habitat protection, to do wildlife conservation Exactly.

To get toxics out of our kids. Amen. And none of these are issues that democrat it's a party itself. Democrats care about them, but the party itself doesn't. There's been these big profound realignments, and it's not only on that issue, it's really the, you know, the domination of, this this corrupt merger of state and corporate power that's happening in Washington DC now, where our democracy has really been subverted by the industries that have taken over the regulatory agencies, and they become and transform them into sock puppets for corporate profit taking.

And, and basically, a wholly owned subsidiary is of the industries they're supposed to regulate. And the democrats, for a variety of reasons, and I watched it happen over many, many years, have, have clung to this illusion of these democratic institutions that they're still democratic. And they have a belief, we all have the capacity to judge ourselves on our intentions rather than our actions. Right? I've been there.

So and the Democratic party judges itself. It sees itself, my friends who are Democrats, see themselves as part of the the good guys, the white hats. And that, you know, they're it's kind of they're they're like the the good guys who are in Fort Apache surrounded by the, you know, the the forces of barbarism that are about to disarm the gate, and they're the only ones. The only way to to keep it at bay is to elect a president who, has dementia. And because you're voting for the apparatus Yes.

And you're not voting for, you know, or or another president there, then to handpick a presidential candidate with without any elections, to basically get rid of democracy in order to save it, and handpick a candidate who in 40 days now has not given a single interview on any media outlet. And I think about what my uncle and father would think about that. You know, they prided themselves on on being able to go debate on on debate. It was the centerpiece, you know, to the whole, you know, function of democracy was to anneal ideas in the furnace of debate and and have them rise up in, you know, the marketplace of ideas. And the idea that, you know, and and we had this British tradition of Churchill and the others in the House of Commons, you know, and being able to defend their policies and being forced to defend their policies Yes.

Articulate eloquently. And, you know, my uncle and father just thought we should ideas are important, and we should be able to defend them. And if you can't defend them, there's something wrong with you. Yes. And you know why? So we have a presidential candidate that was selected by the Democratic party who can't do that. And you know, one of the things that my uncle and father were always thinking about is how do we look to the rest of the world? Right? They they were conscious that America was the template for democracy. When we created a modern democracy in 1789 or 1791 when the Bill of Rights was ratified, We were the only democracy on earth.

By 18/65, during the end of the civil war, there were 5, and they were all modeled on America. And by the time my uncle took office, it was about a 150, and by the time by the end of the sixties, there's a 190. They're all based on the American model. And, you know, we very much were the exemplary nation. We were the example of democracy around the globe, and people and they were very conscious.

They were, you know, they were embarrassed at first by the civil rights movement, because they said, what is the rest of the world gonna think about it? And then they realized, well, we better correct, you know, the problem. Yeah.

Because, but they everything that they did, they were conscious, they were being watched. What is the rest of the world think of American democracy right now?

That, you know, that we we have in one party, selected a man with dementia to lead the free world, and then turned around and picked a person, a woman who cannot give an interview. She cannot defend American, her vision, or America's record in the world. And then she gave this, you know, vice president Harris gave this speech at the convention that was written by neocons. And they had CIA directors talking at the Democratic Convention, military people talking at the Democratic Convention. My father and my uncle were the party of of anti war.

My uncle was asked by his best friend, Bill Ben Bradley, one of his 2 best friends who ran the Washington Post, what do you want on your graves, on your epitaphs? And my uncle said immediately, he kept the peace. He said the primary job of a president of the United States was to keep the country out of war. He said he he didn't want children in Africa and Latin America and Asia When they heard about the United States of America to think of a man with a gun, they wanted them to think of a Peace Corps volunteer and the Alliance for Progress and USAID, which were programs that he created to build the middle class to end run the oligarchs, military hunters that used to receive the USAID, and instead go directly to the poor and build institutions, education, and health, and and all the institutions of democracy to continue to model it for the rest of the world and live up to what we're supposed to be doing, which is to encourage the growth of of democratic rule. So now, you have a, you know, we have a system that's produced people who, you know, a candidate in the in the the the Democratic party who, who can't even defend America's record in the world, and who is who is parroting this kind of war mongering, you know, military domination ideology that's gotten us in such trouble.

It's it's caused a calamity in our country. It's gutted the middle class. It's made us a pariah around the globe. It's create and it led to the rise of bricks. It's leading to the rise of totalitarianism all over the world.

And, you know, I'd say this finally that if you really look at what's happening in the Democratic Party today, it's a party that the word demos in Greek means people. But it's a party that's always facing the people. It's a party that needs ironclad control. So they didn't trust anybody during to have a real election. They got rid of the primaries because they didn't trust the people.

They then pick handpicked vice president Harris with no election, no even pretense of election, because they didn't trust the people. And, you know, you have and they're they're the party now of censorship. And How can you have a democracy with censorship? You cannot have a democracy. They're absolutely incompatible.

And everybody knew that everybody you know, you and I were raised reading Orwell and Alice Huxley and, and, you know, Robert Heinlein and Alexander Solzhenitsyn and, and all of these other books that were part of classical literature that was taught in every American classroom. It said the first step to totalitarianism is always begins with censorship. It's the first step to end that slippery slope. And there's no time that we look back in history and say that people who were censoring speech were the good guys. They're always the bad guys.

Because we knew, you know, we know that they're the guys who are gonna end up racking the whip on us all and and, you know, being our our overlords. And so and then, you know, the whole thing about, like you and I have talked about that clip of of, Tim Walz, governor Walz saying that government should be the ultimate arbiter of what is protected speech and what is not. You know, he said, if something that the first amendment does not protect, misinformation and disinformation, but it does. The first amendment was was written to protect not only true speech, but false speech. And speech that not not it wasn't there, and it's unnecessary to protect the kind of speech that everybody wants to hear.

It's there to protect the kind of speech that nobody wants to hear. Right. And and and especially speech that is critical of the people in charge. Exactly. And so in their current formulation, misinformation is defined as any speech that criticizes the god that they're doing.

So with that in mind, you see the Biden administration encouraging France, Macron, to arrest the owner and founder of Telegram, Pavel Durov, who's now, as of right now, in a French prison. That seems like I mean, that's the hallmark of dictatorship, it sounds, to me. Yeah. Well, you know, we've lost Europe. Europe is now does not have free speech. You know, look what's happened to Elon Musk. Elon Musk should be the hero of the Democratic Party. If the old Democratic Party, he wouldn't be the hero. Somehow, he became a villain because he was actually the only, the only platform that would allow free speech on his platform. And he's now become a villain because of it, because the democratic party does not believe in the people.

If you don't if you if you if you don't believe in free speech, it means because you don't trust the people, you don't trust them to figure it out on their own, you know, to to have information on which they can base their ideas, and their notions, and their beliefs. And their votes. And their votes. And that the government has to, has to protect them from dangerous information, from things that might put bad ideas into their heads. And it's very patronizing, but it's also very manipulative and conniving.

And really, it's exactly the opposite of democracy. And you will not find a single democrat who will, who will criticize it. It's really astonishing to me because the democrats always like them. You know, when I endorse Trump, the big, you know, the big it's kind of the the fulcrum of the centerpiece of the text of hatred that I got back, this kind of seething anger. I'm so many democrats was, well, look what he did on January 6th.

Okay, January 6th was a bad day in American history. And what president Trump did there, in my view, was was was very bad. It was reprehensible. But was the was the republic really at risk? You know, we have the US military, we have the National Guard, you have, you know, they have all the institutions, we have Congress, we have all these institutions of government, and and there was a mob of people, most of them probably didn't know what was happening.

Some of them were very badly intentional, we're breaking the law. But it it wasn't a threat to the Republic. What is a threat, and this is what I you cannot explain to a democrat now, and it's astonishing to me. What is a threat is when the government is censoring your speech, political speech. And you know, I just won Tucker last week.

But that was the centerpiece of democratic ideology was free speech. Exactly. I mean, the word liberal means free speech. That's where it comes from. Oh.

Is that must be weird for you being named Robert f Kennedy junior and spending your entire life in this world. Like, what what's that like? It, it I mean, I I I you know, I I let me just say this. I won a lawsuit. I won a a a new judgment in my lawsuit, Kennedy versus Biden, last week.

And Kennedy versus Biden was part of 2 lawsuits that were brought, 1 by the attorney generals of of Missouri and Louisiana, and the other by me for the same issues, which was the Biden administration's censorship of speech. And so there's a series of decisions. There's a 155 page decision. The the attorney general's case went up to the Supreme Court and was rejected because they, the Supreme Court found that those attorney generals didn't have standing to sue because they weren't directly harmed. My case this week, the federal judge, Doty, said Kennedy does have his antics.

So and he reinforced re reissued his injunction against the Biden administration. Oh, I have an administrator an injunction right now against the Biden White House to enjoin them from censoring me, which they've been doing. They the the 155 page decision by Judge Dodi details everything that happened. 37 hours after he took the oath of office, President Biden's White House opened up a portal for the FBI to begin to have access to social media posts on all the different social media sites. And they the FBI then invited in the CIA, DHS, the IRS, and SAISA.

SAISA is this new agency that is the center of the censorship industrial complex, that is in charge of making sure Americans don't hear things that their government doesn't want them to hear. And those agencies and other agencies, including the health agencies like CDC, were given access to go into the social media sites and change posts, and slow walk things, and, and shadow ban posts. That it was part of that effort, and they removed my Instagram account.

I had almost a 1000000 followers. I they say it was from misinformation, but they could not point to a single post that I ever made that was factually erroneous.

And they actually Facebook pushed back in the email chain. You can see Facebook pushing back at the White House and saying, wait a minute, he's not, this isn't misinformation. This is not factually erroneous. What they're saying is actually true. And they had invented a new word, which is called malinformation, which is information that is factually true, but nevertheless inconvenient for the government.

And that became disinformation, misinformation, and malinformation. That's what that is. So, everybody, and isn't that illegal? That's illegal. Yeah.

And the and the emails show that Facebook people said this, these they were saying about the White House in their private emails with each other. These people are cynical, you know, terrible people, and they knew what they were doing was breaking the law. But they were under tremendous pressure. Facebook has all these deals with the government, and you know, as do all the media companies with the intelligence agencies and elsewhere. Plus, they were the White House was overtly telling them that they were going to, if they didn't comply, that their section 230 immunity was in jeopardy.

A section 230 immunity is the, you know, is is, just so that your listeners know what it is, I used to write for the New York Times regularly. Every time I wrote lawyer an article, lawyers would call me and fact check everything in that article. Because if I wrote something that was defamatory in that article, and somebody was defamed, that person could sue me, but they could also sue The New York Times. Oh, the social media side said, we cannot hire lawyers to look at every post and call the people and check on it, when, you know, on Facebook or Instagram. So if this industry is gonna function, we need to be able to not be liable for what is published on our site.

And that is called section 230 of the Communications Act. Congress said, if you are just a platform, a mere platform, that, for other people to publish, like Facebook is, like Instagram, like Twitter, or the X, that you you're immune. Nobody can sue you. They can sue the person who wrote the post, but they can't sue Facebook. So for Mark Zuckerberg said, if they take away our Facebook, our section 230 immunity, it is existential, meaning we will no longer exist.

And so they were terrified because congress was actually considering removing section 230 immunity. And the White House was telling them, if you don't censor our political critics, we're gonna take away your section 30 2 30 immunity. If president Trump did that, the democrats would go berserk. Well, that's criminal behavior. If I mean does that, it's a criminal.

Right. They're they're violating the the first amendment, the constitution for starters. Yeah. And, so that's what happened. And, you know, my my idea is that if somebody does something bad, it shouldn't matter whether they're Democrat or Republican.

I agree. It is, you know, we should all be going after them, and we should be going after them as a society. How much does it cost you to use the Internet? Well, it's free. Right?

Google's free. Facebook is free. Instagram, totally free. That's what you've been convinced of, but it's a trick. None of it is free.

In fact, you're paying with your data. Everything you do online can be seen and sold, not just to companies, but to governments, including foreign governments and often is. So how do you reclaim your online privacy? It's important. Well, there is one way.

It's called encryption. Strong encryption protects your right to privacy online and defends you from your many potential enemies online, including your own government. And it gives you back the freedom to read what you want, to write what you want without prying eyes spying on you. So how do you get this freedom through encryption? I'll tell you how we do it.

ExpressVPN. ExpressVPN reroutes 100% of our online activity through secure encrypted servers. Now normally, if we didn't use it, Internet providers would be able to read and see everything that we do online. In the United States, they could even sell it as we said. But because we use ExpressVPN, they can't see any of it.

0% approximately. We also use it when we travel abroad because that same encryption shuts out hackers who might try and steal what we're doing. Things like passwords or credit card details over sketchy Wi Fi. Free Wi Fi, that's not free either. It also shuts out foreign governments that might try and spy on us or censor what we're doing online. What we especially like about ExpressVPN is they're not a black box that promises privacy and tech solutions. We have to trust them. They've actually opened up their servers to professional auditors at PwC and KPMG, as well as independent security experts to evaluate the claims they're making about what they're doing, their privacy policy and their trusted server technology. So people are watching them. So it's a carefully designed server architecture that runs on volatile memory only.

That means it never stores user data because it cannot store user data. It's impossible. It's private by design. They couldn't keep your stuff if they wanted to. So in a world where it seems like every corporation wants more and more of your private information to sell and manipulate, it's nice to find a company that actually goes the extra mile to protect it. That's their business, protecting your privacy. So if you want freedom online and freedom means privacy, there's never been a better time to get it through ExpressVPN. You can use our special link to get 3 extra months of ExpressVPN for free at [expressvpn.com/tucker](https://expressvpn.com/tucker). That's express, [expressvpn.com/tucker](https://expressvpn.com/tucker). What I don't understand, and it is baffling to me having known a lot of Democrats, but you've been in that world your whole life.

Like, how do they not see that? How do people who say they believe in civil liberties suddenly think it's okay for the government to prosecute its political opponents and silence them? How do they think that? You know, to me, it's a it's a I've I've thought a lot about that. I bet.

And it's about, it's about tribalism you know, that people put themselves in these tribal categories, and and we're hardwired for tribalism. That's why orthodoxies are so popular that, you know, people get sucked into various kind of orthodoxies, whether it's ideological orthodoxies or religious orthodoxies. And and that impulse is really it's not a religious impulse, it's a biological impulse. And it's an impulse that's hardwired in us from the 20,000 generations we've spent wandering the African savannah and tiny little groups that were warring each other where there was always a male leader, where at where, you know, the women were traded as chattels because you couldn't marry your sisters. So there you knew from the beginning she was gonna be a trade good, and you were gonna trade her for somebody else.

She wasn't had no power. And, and where you all had to ascribe to an orthodoxy, no problems with people who were within your your in group, and people who are outside were subhuman, and they could be killed. And if they made a mistake, you know, you wanted to talk about it. Everybody would talk about it. We're all hardwired that way because that's where our, you know, our our wiring comes from.

And when somebody gets subsumed in an orthodoxy, it's very very difficult to unravel. And and there are all kinds of psychiatric treatises about how do you deprogram somebody, you know, how do you how do you talk somebody out of an orthodoxy? And and, you know, what I the little that I know about it is that if you challenge them directly, you challenge their belief, it it pours concrete on it, and it makes them less able to move off that, or they they get very defensive and that, you know, the way to approach them there are ways to approach them. There's deprogramming, protocols, and they usually include a lot of Socratic method of asking them questions about their belief. But it's a 1 on 1, it's a 1 on 1 project enterprise, and it's not something that you can do with the whole Democratic party overnight. Something has to happen that's gonna make this, you know, this, this tribal thinking unravel because it's really destroying our country. And the polarization, which is happening on both sides, is, is put on steroids by these social media algorithms that, that reward people for staying on the site as long as possible. So the algorithm all the algorithm knows is I've gotta keep as many eyeballs on the site as possible. It turns out why that the way people stay on the site is if you fortify their existing opinions. Of course.

If you feed them if you feed them information that consolidates their worldview. Yes. And so, you know, we have this problem now where it's not just polarization like the civil war, but it's polarization on steroids because you've got machines that are that are manipulating us to hate each other more every single day. So knowing all this as you do and have for a long time, the, you know, the most radical step you can make if you're a democrat is endorsing Donald Trump. So there are political calculations involved.

There are ideological calculations, but there are also, of course, personal calculations. How so you know once you do that, you've burned your boats. Like, that's it. You're not going back to wherever you were 10 years ago. How hard a decision was that for you personally?

It it was a very good it was an obvious decision for me. It should have been. But it was a very, very difficult decision. And we had, you know, I have a very, very good team around me. And, I was most worried about my wife, who was, about Sheryl, who, you know, who, you know, was not comfortable with it.

She is a, you know, a lifelong Democrat. She comes from, she's not the aristocracy, she comes from a very, you know, I would say poor family in North Florida. But she found her way through, through idealism to the Democratic Party, and that, and she shares a lot of those values. And her industry is very, very much aligned with the Democratic Party, probably more than any industry in our country, and more than any, town in our country. So this, for me was likely to have huge impacts on her.

And ultimately, if she had told me, you can't do this, I wouldn't have done it. So, but I'm very I'm very grateful that she overcame. She allowed me to do it. She was not embracing it, but she said, I understand why you have to do this. And, her and we had a 4 day meeting, and up in Hyannis, it's important my home where kind of everybody, my family members, my kids, many other people, Tony Robbins, attended remotely, and a number of other kind of spiritual leaders, just people who care deeply about our country, chimed in and and made case on both sides, and people from the campaign organization did.

Here was a calculus that ultimately was persuasive for me. My, if I all of our internal polling showed from the outset, and if I say it in the Democratic party, I was going to get, president, Vice President Harris elected. 57 to 60% and even more, sometimes up to 66% of my voters. So my followers said that if I withdrew from the election, they were gonna vote for Trump, which is ironic, by the way, Tucker, because president Trump and the RNC did nothing to prevent me from being on the ballots. They didn't have a a big major organization sending private privatized out.

You know, I, the Democratic party, was interviewing literally everybody I've ever met in 70 years to to collect dirt on me. I I got a call They've been doing that, I I know for a fact, for over a year, as you know. Yeah. And they had her they were open about it. This is what we're gonna do.

They put a person in charge of it named Liz Smith, who's, you know, who's that's the kind of person she is. She this is what she does. She does negative research on people and tries to characterize Elizabeth Elliott Spitzer's old girlfriend? Yes. Oh.

And she was in charge of that team. And then there was other people as well, Mary Beth Cahill had been my uncle, Teddy Sheeb of Staff, who I know. And Liz Smith was in charge of the, you know, the negative research, or what they call negative research euphemistically. And I got calls from, you know, for example, a guy that I met at an AA meeting 40 years ago, and he received a call. Most of my family members received calls, contacts, either text or telephone calls from people who said, I'm doing intelligence for the DNC, and, you know, we'd like to talk to you about Robert Kennedy, and if you have any negative information about him.

So I was getting that. You know, I What could possibly be the justification for that? Well, they didn't want me running, and that's the thing is it's not democratic. It wasn't, you know That's such a mafia tactic. Yeah.

Yeah. So, I mean but the point is, it was weird. It was it was not smart because I was actually helping the democrats, and if they just let me stay in and they didn't run this campaign up against me, they probably would win this election. And because I was hurting Trump, oddly, Trump didn't do anything about it. He's, you know, he was kind of, he made a couple of statements about me that I was a communist, etcetera.

They were sort of good natured, you know, the stuff that you you're like, okay. That's okay. They weren't, like, calling my old girlfriend saying, you know, what, you know, what did he do? Or, you know, whatever. They were asking him. So, but the DNC was up to that. And and were you shocked by that? Was I shocked? I don't know. I mean, I was I'm I feel like I'm I'm in a place now and nothing surprises me.

I bet you are. So but, I don't know. I mean, anyway They're gonna drop all that stuff now, obviously. Right? What?

Are they gonna get rid of Liz Smith and put her on some other project? I don't know. I just you sort of wonder how does Liz Smith live with her I mean, that's so repulsive. Like, how does she justify that to herself? I have to I mean, and I I met her.

She's not stupid. But it that is disgusting. No. The I mean, you've lived a life famously, and if you have a team of researchers digging into it And I have not led a careful life, by the way. Well, I mean, I know.

I said, you know, my first my first I'm on during my announcement speech, I said, you know, I I had told my wife to told Cheryl this a couple days before, I said, I have so many skeletons in my closet that if they could vote, I I could run for king of the world. Oh, I know I know stuff's gonna come out about me because I led, let me put it, a colorful life. Yeah. And, and you know, people have all kinds of stories about me, but so I was I'm ready for, you know, I'm ready for I I was I never done anything criminal in terms of, like, stealing money or self enrichment. I did a lot of stupid stuff and a lot of Have you gotten rich off pointless foreign wars?

No. I have not done that yet. Oh, you haven't? Okay. You haven't forced people to inject substances in their bodies? Okay. No. I've not never done any of that. But anyway, so it became clear to me that if if Kamala got elected, the issues that I cared about, which is ending the foreign wars, you know, the the unjust wars, immoral wars, the wars of joys like Ukraine, stopping the censorship, which I think is existential for our democracy, and then protecting children from this extraordinary exploding chronic disease epidemic. Those are the three reasons that got me into the campaign.

That's why I ran for president. Those are the three reasons. And if she got elected, I'm 70 years old, that 8 years from now our kids are gonna be lost. And that and if she's president for 8 years, my chance to do anything about it would be gone. Yes.

And that and then I got a contact from Cali Means, who you know well. Yes. You've, you know, made one of the best Oh, this morning. One of the best shows, ever put on TV, ever aired, was your interview with Cali and his wife. Casey, and Cali, for those of you who haven't seen the show, his his show is a, is an expert, a genius, brilliant, articulate, eloquent, and incredible encyclopedic knowledge on the food system, and what is corrupting it, what is causing the corruption at FDA, at that actually profit on the sick children.

One of the things that Cali said, the the the capture of those agencies, by the processed food industry, by the chemical industry, by the pharmaceutical industry, that actually profit on the sick children. One of the things that Cali says, there is nothing more profitable in our society today than a sick child because it all of these entities are making money out of them. The insurance companies, the hospitals, the medical cartel, the pharmaceutical companies have lifetime annuities. I mean, any child that and the earlier that kid is sick, they don't wanna kill them. They want them sick for the rest of their lives.

And we have now a whole generation when my uncle is present. 6% of Americans had chronic disease today at 60%. When my uncle was president, do you know what the the, the cause the annual cause of treating chronic disease was in this country? 0. There weren't even any drugs invented for it.

0. Today it's about \$4,300,000,000,000. When your uncle was president And none of it is necessary. What what was the autism rate in 1960? Do we know?

In 1960, the autism rate there's about 4, 5 studies, and the highest rates say about 1 in 2,500, 1 in 1500, 1 in 2,500, 1 in 10,000. So that, you know, it was it was somewhere between 1510110,000. Today, it's 1 in every 34 kids according to the CDC. And in some states, like California, I think maybe Utah and New Jersey, 1 in 22. 1 in 22 kids, and, you know, these kids should be healthy.

These kids shouldn't be our our highest performing kids, and they instead are are, you know, have this extraordinary disability that's gonna keep them dependent, and not, you know, a lot of these with your full blown autism, you know, it's a nonverbal, non toilet trained, head banging, stimming, toe walking. These are kids that will never throw a baseball, will never graduate high school, they'll never go out, take a girl on a date, they'll never use the toilet alone, they'll, they'll never write a play, they'll never write a poem, they'll never vote. Never have children. Never pay taxes. Here's something you may not have known.

Back in 2015, the Congress of the United States repealed something called the country of origin labeling act. Now why is this relevant to you? Well, it means among other things that when you buy beef at the supermarket that says made in the USA, it may not actually be. In fact, it could be, likely is, from a foreign country. It means that repackaging foreign meat can be enough to get the made in USA designation.

It's a lie. It's an absolute lie. Most people don't even know what's happening. So how can you be sure that the meat you're eating is from the United States and has been raised with the highest quality standards and is the tastiest? It's truly made here.

Well, it's simple. You can go to our friends at Merriweather Farms. Merriweather Farms is an American small business. It's based in Riverton, Wyoming. We know the people who run it and they're great people.

And they have great meat. They ship the highest quality meat raised free from growth hormones and antibiotics directly to your doorstep. It's delicious. We eat it a lot, including at this table. These are Americans.

These are American made products. And because they're cutting out the grocery store middlemen, their prices are actually cheaper, 10 to 30% cheaper for the best meat. They are the real deal. Again, we eat that meat at this table from Riverton, Wyoming. They're the best.

Meriwetherfarms.com. Use the discount code Tucker 10 and you get an extra 10% off. Again, that's meriwetherfarmsmeriwetherfarms.com. It's worth it. So that just seems like such an emergency.

For me, yeah, for me, that like, if I could save 1 of these kids, it would be worth giving my life for it. I'm 70 years old. To save 1 kid at birth, it would be worth dying for. And to the opportunity and need for me to save all of these kids, I would do anything for her. I would literally do anything for her.

When you're talking about breakfast, I'm sure your perception is different because we're talking about you. But, you know, for 15 years anyway, there was not a single story about you that didn't dismiss you as a dangerous crackpot for questioning why autism is much more common than it once was. Much more. I mean, exponentially more common. And you've written a lot about this, and you were attacked.

I don't see those attacks very much anymore. Well, they're still in the mainstream media. That's still part of the, you know, the litany of of of my crimes. But, you know, anybody who uses their hand any of and they and that's one of the reasons they won't let me speak on the media. I mean, when when Ross Perot ran, he he was running for 10 months. He was on mainstream media 34 times interviews, and you remember him. He was on it seemed like he was on Larry King every week. Of course. But and I got in 16 months, I had 2 live interviews on all of those networks, ABC, NBC, CBS, CNN, MSNBC too. And and they and, you know, they're just basically mouthpieces now for the DNC.

And there was this obligatory litany of defamations and pejoratives that were used to describe me anytime I mentioned my name was mentioned, you know, that I was not a crackpot. And, you know, I was like a supervillain. And I'm not complaining because that's that's just, you know, I I knew what I was getting into. But anyway, the idea that, you know, I had these meetings with president Trump, and they were partly because of you. You know, you were the one who Callie Mains called me about, I'd say, 3 hours after president Trump was shot.

Callie Main's call although it doesn't seem possible because, but I think it was only 3 hours after his shooting. And It was Saturday night. Yeah. Saturday night. And and and Cali Maine said to me, you know, he told me Cali had been advising me for a long time and my campaign.

He told me that night, I've also been, I've been advising president Trump, which delighted me because I thought, oh my gosh, there's another candidate beside me that is is listening to the truth. And, he said that, that there was interest in the Trump campaign by the president of of including me at the end. He talked about vice president, which I wasn't interested in. And but he said, you know, would you be interested in talking with Trump, with president Trump? And I said, I don't think so.

And then and part of this was I just thought it was a nonstarter with Cheryl. And I called Cheryl up, and she, said to me, you should hear them out. And so I immediately called Cali. I texted Cali back and said, I'm interested. And then I got a text from you, and you and I have each other's cell phones.

And you had an unknown cell phone number, which you had linked me into, which was president Trump's number, and you said, you know, he's waiting for your call. And so I called him that night. I had a great conversation with him, and



then, he and he asked well, we decided to talk, and I met him the next day. He was at that point at, Bedminster, which is his golf course and home in New Jersey. And he had he had driven there from Butler, where he had been shot. And then I went to, and so I flew out to mini Minneapolis the next day, and I had a, probably a 2 hour meeting with him and Amarillo, who's my daughter-in-law, who is running my campaign, the smartest person I've ever met, and Cheryl, and Susie Wiles. And it was a really interesting meeting because he was so open about, about, first of all, not liking the neocons. Yeah. And, you know, I never imagined that because I you know, for me, he was the guy who brought John Bolton and Mike Pompeo into office and, you know, but he was really disillusioned with them, to say the least, you know. And then, you know, he was he was deeply interested and and well informed as he is on any, you know, as as much as he is on any subject, about what's what's happening to our kids, chronic disease.

And then he was absolutely adamant about stopping the censorship and, you know, and making sure that we had free speech. And so we talked a little then and, didn't really come to any, you know, talked about the possibility of working together. After that, and then but then we we put it on hold, they wanted me to do something at the convention. I said, no, I'm not gonna do that. And we still, at that point, there was still a chance that I could get into the debate.

That chance was diminishing. And because I was not allowed on any media, and because, and you know, my really my only chance of winning the election, I believe I would have won if I had gotten on the debate stage. But my only chance was to get on the debate stage. And it was that was that, possibility was vanishing. And, so I was looking at kind of my options.

I then contacted Harris's campaign because I thought I should talk to them and see if they're interested in any of these issues, which I suspected they were not because a camel then was still an empty, you know, an empty slate. Kamala, excuse me, was empty slate. So, you know She pronounced it both ways herself, so it's okay. It's it's, you know, I I wanna I wanna respect people and give them Yes. You know?

So I I reached out to her, and I reached out to a number of people who who including some relatives of mine who are very, very close to her personally into the democratic party, and they just said that's a non sorry. You there's no way in the world that she's gonna talk to you. And they said, we can we can get you a meeting with a low level campaign official. And I said, okay. I'm I'm not interested in that.

Why wouldn't it's it's interesting. Why wouldn't Kamala Harris meet with you? Maybe the same reason that she hasn't given an interview. You know, I think it seems to me that there's a lot of handlers involved and that and, you know, even when you talk to Democrats about, you know, do you really think it's a good idea to be, electing somebody who cannot give an interview? They say, well, you're not electing her.

You're electing the people around her. You're electing the apparatus. And the apparatus, but the apparatus, the apparatus I don't have any faith in. It's an apparatus running that are neons like, you know, like Anthony Blinken, and, and who are, you know, running us right up into a world war 3. And there are people who, you know, who mastermind the censorship from inside the White House.

That's the apparatus that they wanna reelect. And to me, that's an apparatus that has no these are the people who are censoring me. These are the people who try to throw me out of the party, who canceled the primaries. That's the apparatus. You know, if it was a Democrat who said I can think on my own, I understand what this country is supposed to look like.

I understand what what democracy is supposed to look like. And I, you know, and I think that's great. Great. Let's do that. But it's just it's strange from her perspective.

First of all, electing the apparatus is not how democracy works. That's an oligarchy, just in point of fact. But as a political calculation, your presence in the race running third party hurt Trump, no one disputes that. The polling's really clear on that. So if you're the Harris campaign, kind of a win, right, to get some alignment with you.

Why even human curiosity, you'd think, would compel her to wanna meet with you. Like, take a meeting. Like, why do you care? But she didn't even talk to you. I think that's I think it's very weird.

It's weird, but not I mean, I can't stress this. Not not being able to give an interview. I mean, your your whole life is in public life. That's what you do. That is the currency.

Right. I give I I give you know, this day is a really solid day because I'm doing one interview with you. On a typical day, I do about 7 or 8 interviews, some days, 10 or 12. And I do that every day, and I've done that for 16 months. I if anybody wants to go I mean, we have a list now, 4,000 people wanna interview me, but we're I'm interviewing as many people as possible.

So I wanna get my voice out, my vision out, my concerns out, and I it's incomprehensible to me that you would be in public life, and and president Trump does the same thing. He's not scared of an interview. No. He likes it. It's Theoban. Yeah. He's on you. He's on he does anybody. He does people who don't agree with him. He's not he's he's not censoring you.

No. He he's doing, you know, he's talking to reporters who write crappy articles about him all the time, you know, from from New York Magazine. Maggie Haberman at the New York Times. New York Maggie Haberman has never written a nice word about Donald Trump, and he talks to her how often? A lot.

Yeah. A lot. So, you know, it's and, you know, my uncle Teddy, who was exactly opposite of Ronald Reagan ideologically. And he ran against Carter. Yeah.

Teddy did. And and Carter and he had an antipathy toward each other that was almost, you know, like nothing I'd ever seen. Teddy really Teddy didn't hate people, but he really, I would say, loathed Carter. He just had he had complete disdain for him. And I and he then like he liked Reagan.

And because I was more ideologically aligned at that point, I was I'd say to him, you know, why do you like Reagan? And he said, because even though I don't agree with anything he said, he was able to invigorate our country. He was able to inspire people. He got people excited about his vision and proud to be Americans. And that is one of the functions of a president.

It's to it's to explain to us why we should be proud of each other, and why we're part of a community, and why our country is great, and, you know, what our future is gonna look like, and get us and and, you know, inspire all of us with that vision. And and that is what a real leader does. How in the world can you do that if you cannot give an interview to a to a a news worker? To a friendly news worker. To a friendly news they can't even do a setup interview in 40 days. I saw the the only interview she did that was unscripted was when she got off a plane, I think it was at Andrews Air Force Base, and and so there was a reporter waiting there that, you know, with one question, when are you gonna do an interview? And she said, I've told my team that to try to get one done before September. This was the 3rd August, and I'm doing I'm doing, you know, 7 or 8 interviews a day. Tells you a lot. And I'm but I'm and I'm not, you know, blowing my own horn or anything.

I'm just saying that's what you do if you're in public life. And what what's the point of being in public life if you don't wanna promote your vision? If you don't wanna inspire people. Yeah. Well, that I mean, so, it I'm sure this is a sensitive subject, but I can't help but notice that you ran for 15 months with no secret service protection at all. You were denied that by the Biden administration. Trump, during the convention in Milwaukee last month, noted that in public. They immediately, under pressure, respond and give you secret service protection. Now they've withdrawn it. You're without it again.

Yeah. Is that true? Yes. Meanwhile, Tony Fauci has it. He's not a federal employee anymore.

I think Mike Pompeo has secret service protection, former CIA director, but you don't. How is that? I think the, you know, I'm technically still running for president. I'm running for president in 30 state, 40 states. So, I'm not, you know, I did not, I did not terminate my my campaign.

Did you know this? No. I didn't. Yeah. So, you know, I'm running in the I there there's there's 10 states where I heard president Trump, and there are battleground states.

Oh, I've taken my name off the ballot in those 10 states. But in the blue states, all blue states, all red states, I'm on the ballot. And I could technically win a contingency election if the other 2 vote, you know, and and they if the other 2 get 269 apiece. And, and then congress cannot work out a compromise, which is entirely possible. They have to go to the 3rd vote getter, which would be me, and that's why I left my name on the ballot in those states.

And so, you know, that's highly unlikely to happen, but it has happened twice before in American history. And actually, in our polling now shows them at exactly 269 to 269. Oh, it is possible that it would happen in this So campaign. So I

so the and I you know, we worked this out with the Trump campaign. They only wanted us off in 10 states, because that's the states where you heard them.

And the other states, people can vote for me, I'm re and I and they're not gonna hurt their candidate. They they can vote for me even if they like president vice president Harris, and without hurting her, and they can vote for me if they like president Trump without hurting him because we already know what's gonna happen in those states. Yes. Well, I'm, I'm So all the more reason that you should have what Tony Fauci has and what Mike Pompeo has and a lot of other, by the way, noncurrent federal employees have, which is government bodyguards. But they withdrew them immediately from you.

So what's the message of that? Well, the message I think is a bad message, which is that our, our federal enforcement agencies have been weaponized against the American people. I mean, again, politically weaponized politically, not against the American people, but politically. When my father took office in the Justice Department, and my father was appointed a US attorney general in 1961 by my uncle, his brother. And my father, the 1st week in office, he had run my uncle's campaign so he's a political guy.

He called together all the division chairs, all the branch chiefs in the DOJ, and he made us into his big cavernous office. And he said to them, we're gonna make one rule here, which is there's no politics. We never ask whether a potential defendant is democrat or republican. The people of this country have to know that their enforcement institutions, the Department of Justice, are, are are the justice is blind here. We are, free of any kind of political prejudice or by or bias or favoritism.

And they started putting in jail. He prosecuted my uncle on my mother's side, for antitrust violation. He prosecuted friends of his, friends of his father's, who father did not want him to prosecute. And they just said, it doesn't matter. We've gotta we've gotta apply it even handedly because the American people need to understand that their institutions are are are free.

We need to respect them and know that they're not biased in one way. And we're losing that now in our country. And the Biden administration has really accelerated at the most the most shocking thing to me. And Democrats can't even hear this story because it touches so many sort of culture war buttons, but it's it's a true story. People need to understand it and appreciate it.

In the 2020 election, when, there was a 100 buttons laptop a week before the and we only know this this whole story recently because of a release of documents. But the when president Biden's, the 100 on Biden's laptop suddenly became an issue about a week before the debate. And Anthony Blinken, who is now the secretary of the state, and who was then the director of president Biden's campaign, went to Gina Haspel, who is the head of this director of the CIA, and and said to her, we need help with this. She then got 51 CIA, current and former CIA officers to sign a public letter, which they published, I think, in the New York Times, but they published it somewhere that, that said that Hunter Biden's laptop was a Russian hoax. That was part of a Russian disinformation effort to tamper with the, with the presidential election campaign.

So you had a the CIA, which is forbidden by its charter from involving itself in any American politics. And you had 51 top officers, former and current, who now do a disinformation campaign against the American public to tamper with the election, while accusing the Russians of tampering with the election. And then a week later, president Biden, when he's asked about his laptop on the debate, he says that has been debunked by the CIA, by the CIA officers. And that was the end of the issue because it was debunked. All the newspapers picked that up, and it's highly likely that that had an impact on the election.

So, you know, we that that was the entree of president Biden getting into office. And again, you know, Democrats who hear me say this story are gonna say, oh, he's just saying that because, you know, he's a republican now. Right? Which I'm not, but he that's what they're gonna say. But it's not that.

It's just that this was wrong. The big tech companies censor our content. I hate to tell you that it's still going on in 2024, but you know what they can't censor? Live events. And that's why we are hitting the road on a fall tour for the entire month of September, coast to coast.

We will be in cities across the United States. We'll be in Phoenix with Russell Brand, Anaheim, California with Vivek Ramaswami, Colorado Springs with Tulsi Gabbard, Salt Lake City with Glenn Beck, Tulsa, Oklahoma with Dan Bongino, Kansas City with Megyn Kelly, Wichita with Charlie Kirk, Milwaukee with Larry Elder, Rosenberg, Texas with Jesse Kelly, Grand Rapids with Kid Rock, Hershey, Pennsylvania with JD Vance, Reading, Pennsylvania with Alex Jones, Fort Worth, Texas with Roseanne Barr, Greenville, South Carolina with Marjorie Taylor Greene, Sunrise, Florida with John Rich, Jacksonville, Florida with Donald Trump Junior. You can get tickets at Tucker Carlson dotcom. Hope to see you there. So the CA I mean, a lot of roads lead back, unfortunately, to our most powerful intelligence agency.

Would if you were asked, would you run it? Would you become CA director if you were asked? I would never get, yes, I would. But I would never get senate confirmation. As you know, the the intelligence agency, are protected by, by very, very powerful committees in the senate and in the house that are already into the project.

And the people who serve on those committees are, are are people who would, you know, they would not they they would they're they're safeguarding that directorship, and I would be very, very dangerous for those those committees. So I don't think that And yet in his, in, you know, in your joint, appearance on Friday, president Trump introduced you by saying that he plans to, if elected, establish a commission to declassify the remaining documents surrounding your uncle's murder in 1963. Yeah. And I and I think everyone at this point knows the truth, which is the CIA is implicated in that. Those documents protect CIA, maybe among others.

Well, whether they do or not, I mean, it's odd that they've not allowed them to be released because What could possibly be the explanation? More than 60 years after my uncle's death, almost 65 years. Oh, 62 years after his death. And there's none of the people who were implicated in that crime are alive now. Yes.

And the last ones have died off in the last year or 2. And so it clearly is an, to protect the institution. Yes. And that's wrong. It's just wrong.

And it's it's wrong for a Democrat, and it's wrong for Republicans. It's just interesting though that a bipartisan list of presidents, lo these these 6 decades, have kept those files classified. Well, you and I have both I was astonished that Trump, didn't declassify him because he promised it during the campaign. That was Mike Pompeo who did that. Yeah. And that and that I talked to president Trump for the first time about that this week. And What'd he say? He said that, he said that Mike Pompeo begged him to, and I don't think I'm telling tales out of school here. No. I'm fine. He told the same thing to you. That's true. But he said Mike Pompeo called him and said, this wouldn't be a catastrophe to release these. You need to not do it. And then, you know I wanna say again, I think Mike Pompeo is a criminal, so that's my view.

He threatened to sue me for saying that, but I hope he will because it's true. But that that kind of tells the whole story right there. Right? That the CIA is Oh, yeah. So that's the Why would the CIA be trying to keep these files classified if they had nothing to do with the murder?

I don't really get that. Yeah. And but the subject we were talking about was the weaponization of the federal agency, and that's just one of them. And then then they get, you know, then they open up these censorship portals, the 37 hours after president Biden takes office, where now you have the FBI involved in American politics, and, you know, which we ran them out in the sixties, you know, because we were outraged that they were even they were bugging Martin Luther King and the Black Panther Party. And Americans were indignant about that.

Why are they think this I mean, why are we have we gotten to the point where it's so normalized, and now we're okay with the FBI running a portal to censor political speech in our country, and then inviting in the CIA and the SAISA and the IRS. I don't know what they were doing in there. I think they did. NIH and, you know, CDC and all these other agencies, DHS, which all had a hand in censoring American speech. So that was another thing.

And then the use, you know, which we saw for the first time in American history of the of the judiciary, to to, to get rid of candidates. You know, what they tried to do to me, they're suing me now in a dozen states. I've had I've been in trials for the past 3 weeks. You know, I've I've spent most of my time not campaigning, but being sitting in court, in cases that are trying to get me off the ballot. So, like, I had a 1000000 people, 1000000 American citizens sign petitions more than any candidate in history.

Everybody said, I'd never do this. They impossibly be in the ballot in 50 states. Well, guess what? We got on the ballot in 50 states. And we did it by getting a 1000000 citizens to sign petitions saying that they wanted to vote for me. And the democratic party now is suing me in all those states to make sure that those people cannot vote for the person they wanted. When I was growing up, the democratic party was of RFK and and JFK was the party that was fighting for voting rights. It was fighting to make sure that every American could vote for the candidate of their choice no matter whether black or white or where they lived or Democrat or Republican. Now the Democratic party, today's Democratic party, feels so unconfident about the candidates that it's putting forward. And it feels the only way it can win the election is by getting rid of the opponents.

And, and you know, either using the courts against president Trump to lock him in jail and to embarrass and humiliate and discredit him, or using the courts against me to, just to throw me off the ballot even though the voters, you know, New York's aide, I had to get 45,000 ballot signatures in 13 congressional districts. I got I got a 137,000 in all, 26 congressional districts. I did twice when anybody wants, and we did it easily because people wanted to see money on ballot, New Yorkers wanted to see me on the ballot. Why is the Democratic Party suing me in frivolous cases? I spent a whole week in in in a trial for that case, for 2 cases they brought, and another week in another trial, for another case. You had to pay for this? It's causing me \$10,000,000 to defend myself. But on what grounds are they suing you? Like you don't have they don't like you, so you don't have a right to be in the ballot or what what what? In New York state, they're suing me by they they can't challenge our signatures because we got 5 times as many signatures as we required.

So that, you know, normally what they were doing in the first states, they're taking our signatures, and they were calling everybody. They can get their numbers, and they can get their, you know, cell phones, etcetera. And we're contacting everybody who's signed and trying to talk them out of it. Trying to say to get them to say, you know, you're hurting democracy, and, you know, you should, you know, weren't you fooled when you did this to try to get they they never succeeded. They're they're in New York state, they're suing me because they say that I did not I don't live in New York state.

So I have 3 residences. 1 is in New York, one is in my home in Massachusetts, which, you know, is part of my family compound that we've owned for, you know, a 100 years. And, and then in California, where I live with Charlotte, so I moved with Charlotte, California in 2014, so 10 years ago. And I lived in New York all of my life. I lived there since I was 10.

My father ran for senate there and was the senator. I moved there when I was 10. I've only voted in New York. I've always considered myself a New York resident. I've lived in the same town for 40 years in Bedford.

I've lived in 13 different residents in that town at various times. And but I always wanted to stay there. And when I moved out west with Cheryl, I made an agreement with her that, you know, when she retires, we're going to come back to New York because I feel like I'm a New Yorker. I didn't want to vote in California because I don't know anything about the politics out there. I was raised in New York.

I know all the politics, all the politicians, And so, I wanted to vote. So I kept an address there. I voted that address. That's my only place I've ever voted. I, my car is registered there.

My driver's license is there. My law office is there, I pay income tax, almost all my income taxes from New York state. My law license is there. I don't have a law license in California. And, my hunting license is there, my fishing license Most importantly, yeah.

I have a falconry license there. So I have all my birds there, you know, I keep them there. And so, you know, but they're suing me saying I'm not a real New Yorker. I'm I'm, you know, I I contrive the address out of fraud, and it's a sham. And, here's the thing, is that I consulted a lawyer when I when we declared independent and began getting ballot signatures.

I consulted the best ballot access attorney in the country, Paul Rossi. And I said, I got these 3 different residences, which one do I put on the ballot? You have to put the same residence in all 50 states. So you can't choose another resident. You know, you can't I can't put California in one state and Massachusetts in another state, New York.

I have to tell the people, otherwise I'm lying to somebody, right? Right. So in a couple of states, for example, Maine, where we are right now, and in New Hampshire, those states say the only place you can put down as your domicile is the place where you vote. And in New Hampshire, I actually had to take an oath in front of a notary that I voted in New York because otherwise, I couldn't have put it down. So I had to put New York in every state because I had to put it in Maine and New Hampshire and a bunch of others because you have to put the place you vote.

Anyway, the DNC is suing me saying I defrauded the public because I really live in California. And they got a, you know, they got a judge who was, you know, right out of the democratic machine, and who violated the constitution and every precedent to say, yeah, they're right. So, you know, I lost in the lower court, which is what happens. We're doing that. We're losing in these lower courts, and then we win the appeals.

There's a 100% chance I'll win in the appeal, but they don't care. Because it's gonna take me a while, and they get the headline saying he was thrown off for fraud. So these I mean, I saw Kamala Harris just the other night, at her convention speech talk about how voting access is like a I know. While she was doing that, I was in court in New York, you know, trying to get on a ballot while she while that you know, in her or the entire The the John Lewis Voting Access Act were gonna get through. Everybody has a right to vote.

Yeah. It's not. Except for their opponents. So does this it feels to me like this is, you know, obviously, it's a big political story. You're endorsing Trump.

It's a big big change in your life as a lifelong Democrat, still a Democrat. But, it also feels like, as you said at the outset Well, I'm an independent out, so I registered as an independent when I ran. And when I talk with president Trump, the, you know, the thing that we talked about is that, I you know, that we were gonna do a unity government, with the independent, not not the kind of endorsements that a lot of people make. An endorsement like Abraham Lincoln's team of rivals, where we would be able to continue to differ publicly on issues, but that we would, on the issues that we agree on, that we were going to strive to get into government together in order to make sure that those issues are, you know, are, you know, are the priority for our country. And, you know, he was really good about that and about, you know, me being able to continue on there's some issues.

There's a lot of issues like the border where we agree, and, you know, censorship, the wars, the neocons, the, you know, forever wars, child health epidemics. Those are the most important issues. There's other issues that I do that I'm gonna disagree on with president Trump, but he was happy with that. And that's how our country ought to be. We ought to be able to So what is this realignment that you mentioned at the outset?

Because this does feel like Yes. It's bigger than just this November. Yeah. I mean, there's been a a series of these realignments throughout American history, and, you know, there there's history books that are written about the, you know, the the realignments. I think there's about 5 of them.

And, and one of those is clearly happening now because you you see, on so many issues, you know, that you've had an inversion. The the Democratic party has become the party of the elites. It used to be the party of the poor and the working class. In fact, there was a study that came out just recently that I saw that showed that 70% that the people who voted for Biden owned 70% of the wealth in this country. The people who voted for Trump owned 30%.

And, and so I believe that. Right? So you're seeing this realignment happen where the elites, you know, where Wall Street, or the big tech, big pharma, the big banking houses are all now Democratic, and that the, and that the working class, the middle class, the cops, the firefighters, Sean O'Brien, head of the team, you know, spoke Great guy. Yeah. A great guy.

Great, great guy. Really love him, but he spoke to the Democratic Convention. I mean, the Republican Convention rather than Democratic Convention. So you're seeing this just this bigger alignment, and even on environmental issues. It's so weird to me because the Democrats have been become subsumed in this carbon orthodox, and you and I have talked about this, that the only issue is carbon.

And what that's done is it's forced them to do something that you should never do if you're an environmentalist, which is to commoditize and quantify everything. So everything is measured by its carbon footprint, how many tons of carbon it produces. And, you know, you're basically, you're you're putting everything in that kind of box of of being able to

quantify it and explain its value by, you know, by a numerically. And the reason that we protect the environment is just the opposite of that. The reason that we protect the environment is because there's a spiritual connection.

There's a, you know, there's a love that we have. We, you know, I got into the environment because, I I wanted, you know, this connection to the fishes and the birds and the wildlife and the and the whales, and, and the the Purple Mountain's majesty, and that you know, I understood it the way, you know, God talks to human beings through many factors, through each other, through organized religion, through the great prophets, through the wise people, the the great books of those religions. But nowhere was the kind of detail and texture and grace and joy as through creation. And when we destroy nature, we diminish our capacity to sense the divine, understand who God is, and what our own potential is, and duties are as human beings. And that I I hope what you just said, by the way, is chopped up and put all over every social media platform in the world.

When we destroy nature, we degrade our own ability to experience the divine. Yeah. And that that, you know, it's not about quantifying stuff. That's what the devil does. He quantifies everything.

Right? And that is, you know, what he wants us doing. Put a number on it. And the reason we're preserving these things is not is because we love our children. You know?

And it's it's because we we get nature enriches us. Enriches us economically and spiritually and culturally and historically. It connects us to those 10000 generations of human beings that were here before there were laptops. And it, you know, and it connects us to the the most important spiritual lesson. Every every all of the organized religions in, you know, that that we know of today, the central revelation of every one of those religions always occurred in the wilderness.

You know, Moses had to go into the wilderness to, to to listen to to hear God's voice and see the burning bush. He had to go to the wilderness of Mount Sinai to get the commandments. Muhammad had to, who was a city boy from Mecca, had to go to the wilderness of Mount Harrah on a camping trip with his kids and wrestle the angel Gabriel in the middle of the night to have the first stanzas of the of the Quran squeeze from him. Buddha had to go into the wilderness to sit under the, you know, and wander for years, and then sit under the Bodhgaya tree to get his first revelation of Nirvana. And Christ had to spend 40 days in the wilderness to discover his divinity for the first time.

And his mentor was John the Baptist, who lived in a cave in the Jordan Valley and ate honey of wild bees and locusts. And, you know, and then all of Christ's parables come from nature. I'm in the vine, you are the branches, the mustard seed, the little swallows, the scattering of seeds on the fallow ground. Because that is where we sense the divine. God talks to us through the fishes, the birds, the leaves, they're all, you know, words from our creator.

And that is why we preserve nature. Yes. It's not because of the, you know, it's not because the, you know, the quantity of carbon. And by the way, I feel what you said so deeply, I can hardly even express it. And thank you for saying that.

And by the way, we, the best thing that you can do for climate is to is to restore the soils. The soils are the solution to everything. The soil will absorb all that carbon. If, you know, if and it'll absorb the water, it'll stop the flooding, it'll give us healthy food, and that's what our national policy has to be. It has to be restoring the soil.

And that is, you know, everybody listen, If you talk if you wanna unite America and talk about these things, talk about the fishes, the birds, the wildlife, and just talk about ending mountaintop removal mining, Talk about ending the mountain cutting here. Talk about getting rid of, you know, the democrats are putting these offshore wind farms that are exterminating the whales. I know. Most of us got into this because of the whales, and they're about to extinguish the right whales, the last ones on earth, because with these monstrosities that are, you know, that are costing us 3 times the amount, we don't need them. They cost 33¢ a kilowatt hour when you can get onshore wind for 10¢ a kilowatt hour.

And who's making the money? Goldman Sachs, BlackRock, foreign governments. And the other thing that they're funding, 100 of 1,000,000,000 of dollars. This is what they're calling this is what climate has turned into. It's these climate capture pipelines and are wreaking havoc with the agricultural lands across the Midwest, stealing people's property rights with, you know, eminent domain.

And who's making the money? BlackRock. And it's a useless technology that does not work. It's just all a boondoggle. And that's what's become the environmental movement in this country.

And if you depart from that orthodoxy, you're expelled from it. If you if you wanna make Americans fight each other, talk about carbon. If you wanna bring Americans together, talk about habitat protection. Yeah. I know that.

And you know It's a little weird for I mean, you literally spent your life with river keepers as a environmentalist, environmental lawyer in the environmental movement. I mean, that that that's that's your life work product. Or have you been expelled from the movement? Pretty much. Yeah.

You know, the weird thing is I think of you as a radical environmentalist. Well, I definitely am. Yeah. I you are. I haven't showered inside in 10 years.

Yeah. Yeah. No. I feel it so strong like it. Also, you know, you love nature, you're against these big projects that are are destroying it.

And, you know, you you talk about toxics, and the environmental movement no longer talks about toxics anymore. They don't care about it. They don't care that we're mass poisoning our children. It's so weird to me, and a, and, you know, I saw you, I for for 40 years, I've been fighting to get against endocrine disruptors. Endocrine disruptors are a class of chemicals that chain they they alter us hormonally, and they change our our they can change sexual conduct, they can change sexual development, they can affect fertility, and we've already lost 50% of our sperm count.

You know, we're having, girls in this country that are achieving puberty on average between 10 13 years old. That's 6 years less younger than they were, you know, 80 years ago. We we we have the lowest puberty levels on any continent in the world here because we're just bombarding our children with endocrine disruptors. And at you know, there are there are chemicals like PCBs, olechlorinated Biphenol to atrazine, which can turn male frogs into females and produce fertile eggs. That's how potent they are as an endocrine disruptor, and it's in 63% of our water supply. PCBs, which I've been fighting since the day I became an environmental lawyer, and getting them out of the Hudson. So and and for 40 years, I've been trying to get Republicans to talk about it. I talked Roger Eells all the time with both of us now, who, who would let me occasionally onto Fox News to talk about it. But there was so much hostility from the Republican party because it was like you're attacking corporate profit taking, and that these are chemicals, they're molecules, who cares? You know, they can't hurt you.

And there was just And then you do this incredible show on endocrine disruptors. And I'm like, oh my god, Tucker Carlson has just done the best show that's ever been done, showing, you know, what's happening with endocrine disruptors, how they're just destroying us. And the Democrats went after you and the environmental movement, and I'm like, what? You know, this is what we've been trying to get for 40 years, the Republicans to care about these issues. And they said, oh, he's saying that chemicals turn people gay, and he's anti gay, and all this stuff.

And that wasn't what you said at all, and that's not what anybody said. And what what we're saying is we're we're destroying our children. That's what we're saying. Yeah. And God's creation, which is not ours to destroy.

Your description of why we protect nature and its role in our lives, and what happens when you're cut off from nature and animals by being part of nature, is the best I've ever heard ever. And that's that oh, I mean it. And when that, you know, when it becomes a a matter of quantifying things for profit, then that kind of corrupts the whole, enterprise. So where do you my last question, what happens now? You had this kind of amazing announcement with Donald Trump on Friday.

It's now Monday, I think, which is 3 days ago. How do you spend from here until election day? I'm gonna work to get him elected, and, and, you know, I'm working with the campaign. We're working on policy issues together. I will, I've been asked to go on to the transition team and, you know, to help pick the people who will be running the government. And, I'm, I'm looking forward to that. And I, you know, I I'm I'm in a fight. I don't know what would happen to me if we lose. Well, that's that was that's kind of I mean, a lot of people I know personally and I'm friends with are have gone to prison. One of them is in prison right now, Pawel Durov.

There are others. Like, what happens if he loses to you? If, you mean if Trump loses and Kamala Harris becomes president. I don't know. But, I mean, I listen.



I know. I don't I never really think about that. I wanna I think is Oh, good. What I think is, okay. Here's what I gotta do today.

And, you know, get up every day and say reporting for duty, sir. And then go do that. And, you know, nothing's a crisis. Everything's a task. Right?

And, and so that's what I'm gonna be, kind of a happy warrior. You know, I'm I know what I have to do, so I'm gonna do it. Robert f Kennedy junior. Thank you. Thank you.

That was really that was a blessing. I appreciate it. Thank you. To watch the rest unlock our entire vast library of content, you can visit [tucker carlson.com](https://tucker.carlson.com) and activate your membership today. In the name of free speech, we hope you will.